

From: [Turner, Ed](#)
To: [Guinotte, John](#)
Cc: [Grizzle, Betty](#)
Subject: Re: ecoregion map overlay
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 1:21:38 PM

Hi John, Sounds good, we just pulled the High North Deserts out of Canada, but we can leave that in as you said and not do any alterations to there data.

I can start working on this, but will get a call soon and have to leave for the day.

Wednesday I'll be in an pout, but will combine the data and run the selections, codes and build a data set based on your current range. We can then use the code Keep_Drop that I will create to remove or put back once we start looking at it.

Let you know more as I get it.

Ed

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 12:08 PM, Guinotte, John <john_guinotte@fws.gov> wrote:

Hi Ed, I think it is a good idea. This method reduces the current range area, but retains most of the occurrences. The only issue I can see though is that we'd need to leave the polygons in Canada as they were. I don't think we should be altering the range they provided us for Canada.

Best, John

John Guinotte
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Ecological Services
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Mountain Prairie Region 6
134 Union Blvd., Lakewood, CO 80228
303-236-4264
john_guinotte@fws.gov

On Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 11:44 AM, Turner, Ed <ed_turner@fws.gov> wrote:

Hi John, Betty and I looked at the ecoregions and the locations that you used for the current range today.

See that the locations follow the "Green" NORTHWESTERN FORESTED MOUNTAINS, 6.1/6.2 like you had in your map.

Here's a thought, we could drop the "Blue" NORTH AMERICAN DESERTS from the current range, and possibly drop of pull back the "Rust type color" GREAT PLAINS from the data.

What do you think about that kind of change?

See the maps that show what it would look like. Red color is the last current rage, blue would be the new. If we go with something like this we will need to do an overlay

process to edit. I would select just the areas to retain and drop and use the codes in a process to keep and remove. All that would be in the file as record.

I also included a map showing all data locations. Again the trend is looking close to the 6.1 region.

We all can discuss.

Thanks, Ed

On Mon, Apr 17, 2017 at 8:55 AM, Guinotte, John <john_guinotte@fws.gov> wrote:
Yes, I agree with you. This was a quick and dirty not meant to be polished.

John Guinotte
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Ecological Services
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Mountain Prairie Region 6
134 Union Blvd., Lakewood, CO 80228
303-236-4264
john_guinotte@fws.gov

On Mon, Apr 17, 2017 at 9:51 AM, Grizzle, Betty <betty_grizzle@fws.gov> wrote:
Thanks John. I want to sit down with Ed and try to adjust this a bit - include Canada, take off occurrences, etc., try to label some of the associations, and add outline of current range as you did on this version. Not sure if this will work without getting too messy.

On Mon, Apr 17, 2017 at 8:43 AM, Guinotte, John <john_guinotte@fws.gov> wrote:
This map is a little busy, but it shows the agreement between Inman's data, our occurrences/range, and the 6.2 ecoregion. Nice find.

Best, John

John Guinotte
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Ecological Services
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Mountain Prairie Region 6
134 Union Blvd., Lakewood, CO 80228
303-236-4264
john_guinotte@fws.gov

--

Betty J. Grizzle, D.Env.
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Ave, Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760-431-9440, ext. 215
760-431-5901 fax

--

Edwin Turner, Geographer
GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch

DOI-R8-CFWO
USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov
760.431.9440 x266

--

Edwin Turner, Geographer
GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch

DOI-R8-CFWO
USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov
760.431.9440 x266